



# Physical Modeling and CFD Simulation of Wave Slamming on Offshore Wind Turbine Structures

Arndt Hildebrandt Franzius-Institute for Hydraulic, Waterways, and Coastal Engineering





#### **Overview**:

- **Potential & Problems**
- Test field Alpha ventus RAVE GIGAWIND av
- Laboratory experiments
- Numerical simulation

#### Summary & Perspectives











# Approved projects in the German area of the North Sea

Project	Test fiel	d:		Distance to shore [km]	Water depth [m]
Dollart Emden	6 x Jack	ets		0,01	3
Alpha Ventus (Borkum West I)			/	43	30
Sandbank 24				100	30-40
Bard Offshore 1				87	39-41
Dan Tysk				45	23-31
Borkum Riffgrund West		A		40	30-35
Borkum Riffgrund				34	23-29
Nordsee Ost				30	19-24
Butendiek				35	16-22
Enova Offshore North Sea				40	28-32
Amrumbank West				35	21-25
Nördlicher Grund				86	23-40
Global Tech I				75	39-41
Hochsee Windpark Nordsee				75	39
Gode Wind		11111		45	26-35
Meerwind (Ost und Süd)				53	22-32
Hochsee Windpark, He Dreiht	and the second second			75	39
Borkum West II				45	30
Nordergründe				15	8-15
Bard Offshore Hooksiel		Source: DOTI/	Matthias Ibeler, 2009	0,4	2-8
	Total:	4607			





## Research project "GIGAWIND alpha ventus"







- 19 + 32 = 51 acceleration meters
- 67 + 46 = 113 strain gauges

30 water pressure sensors (WPS) => 2 vertical profiles: 6 and 4 WPS => 1 horizontal profile: 22 WPS

Current velocity meter => ADCP + FINO 1

Wave recording => Wave buoy + FINO 1

Video camera => Wave run up

Wind data













- 30 Presssure Sensors (PS) => Vertical profile, 14+4 PS => Horizontal profile with 7 PS => Upper braces with 6 PS
- 2 Acceleration meters (xyz)
- 8 Strain gauges
- Current meters => 2 x 3 NSW probes (xz)
- Water elevation => 24 Wave gauges
- Cameras (front-, back view) => Wave runup, wave geometry

























1 Frequency



 $\eta(t) = 0.00029309 \cos(2^* pi^* 0.19531^* t + 2.7833)$ +0.00030647\*cos(2\*pi\*0.21973\*t+2.9468) +0.00028045\*cos(2\*pi\*0.24414\*t+-3.0928) +0.00042436\*cos(2\*pi\*0.31738\*t+-1.1338) +0.00073515\*cos(2\*pi\*0.3418\*t+-0.82781) +0.0011736\*cos(2\*pi\*0.36621\*t+-0.65619) +0.0018296\*cos(2\*pi\*0.39063\*t+-0.54339) +0.0031425\*cos(2\*pi\*0.41504\*t+-0.47334) +0.010265\*cos(2\*pi\*0.43945\*t+-0.48657) +0.025146\*cos(2\*pi\*0.46387\*t+2.0443) +0.020516\*cos(2\*pi\*0.48828\*t+-2.1838)  $+0.015878*\cos(2*pi*0.5127*t+0.034278)$ +0.01269\*cos(2\*pi\*0.53711\*t+2.3999) +0.010957\*cos(2\*pi\*0.56152\*t+-1.4619) +0.0087465\*cos(2\*pi\*0.58594\*t+1.0126) +0.0072024\*cos(2\*pi\*0.61035\*t+-2.5701) +0.0062778\*cos(2\*pi\*0.63477\*t+0.14593) +0.0049753\*cos(2\*pi\*0.65918\*t+3.026) +0.0044647\*cos(2\*pi\*0.68359\*t+-0.20674) +0.003576\*cos(2\*pi\*0.70801\*t+2.9292) +0.0032275\*cos(2\*pi\*0.73242\*t+-0.0094087) +0.0025998\*cos(2\*pi\*0.75684\*t+-2.7959) +0.0024271\*cos(2\*pi\*0.78125\*t+0.87949) +0.001837\*cos(2\*pi\*0.80566\*t+-1.5816) +0.0019428\*cos(2\*pi\*0.83008\*t+2.3668)  $+0.0014469*\cos(2*pi*0.85449*t+0.57194)$ +0.0011753\*cos(2\*pi\*0.87891\*t+-1.8296) +0.0017064\*cos(2\*pi\*0.90332\*t+-3.1108) +0.00064007\*cos(2\*pi\*0.92773\*t+2.3292) +0.001356\*cos(2\*pi\*0.95215\*t+-0.31436) +0.0017668\*cos(2\*pi\*0.97656\*t+-0.19722) +0.00028315\*cos(2\*pi\*1.001\*t+1.0076) +0.00093962\*cos(2\*pi\*1.0254\*t+-2.285) +0.00029226\*cos(2\*pi\*1.0498\*t+0.45282) +0.00094192\*cos(2\*pi\*1.0742\*t+2.9127) +0.0008214\*cos(2\*pi\*1.123\*t+-2.7973)





































Snapshot (5.68 s / 8.00 s),  $H_{B, x=105m} = 1.05+0.45 = 1.5 m$ 

- Small curling factor like deep water breaker
- Pile-up effect
- Water level gradient at pile during impact
- Diffusion in area of coarse mesh







Snapshot (6.68 s / 8.00 s),  $H_{B, x=105m} = 1.05+0.45 = 1.5 m$ 

- Small curling factor like deep water breaker
- Pile-up effect
- Water level gradient at pile during impact
- Diffusion in area of coarse mesh







Snapshot (6.78 s / 8.00 s),  $H_{B, x=105m} = 1.05+0.45 = 1.5 m$ 

- Small curling factor like deep water breaker
- Pile-up effect
- Water level gradient at pile during impact
- Diffusion in area of coarse mesh







Snapshot (6.98 s / 8.00 s),  $H_{B, x=105m} = 1.05+0.45 = 1.5 m$ 

- Small curling factor like deep water breaker
- Pile-up effect
- Water level gradient at pile during impact
- Diffusion in area of coarse mesh















Snapshot of the wave profile during wave impact. Partly vertical water front.









#### Pressure at various heights



- Symmetric pressure distribution
- $\cdot$  30% reduced pressure over 7% of H<sub>b</sub> in upper zone => curling factor
- $\cdot$  Increasing rise time at lower pressure sensors







- $\cdot$  Symmetric pressure distribution
- Roughly 250 ms pressure "crest"
- · Peak value shows 1 kN/m<sup>2</sup> difference (wave front, heighest sensor position)







- Pressure peak at cylinder front
- Small area with rapid decrease at the upper limit (small dy)
  Peak characteristic >30° & < 45° for this point of
  - time (dt < 0.01s)





# Summary & perspective

### **GIGAWIND** alpha ventus



Wind, shallow waters, renewable Prototype installed Data 2010

#### Development



Physical and numerical modeling, field data Ongoing tests Large Wave Flume (GWK) tests 2010

## Efficient design



Calibration of numerical models for breaking waves Peak pressure distribution, curling factor, rise time







#### Thank you for your kind attention!

